MAP REVIEW COMMITTEE

1.   The Management Assistance Program (MAP) has now been underway since November 1973 and considerable progress has been made in developing the many systems involved in the Program. However, there has not been a recent overall review of the major systems and the priorities and level of effort required for their accomplishment. Because the many operational programs of the Directorate supported by MAP are dynamic and interrelated, with changes occurring constantly, and because of the continuing need to reassess the commitment of the limited resources of the Office of Joint Computer Support (OJCS), the Directorate can profit from an overall review at this time.

2.   There is established, accordingly, a MAP Review Committee consisting of representatives of the Office of Joint Computer Support, Logistics, Personnel, Finance, Security and STATINTL Medical Services. ██████████████ Deputy Director of Joint Computer Support, is Committee Chairman. Miss ████████████ of the Office of the DD/A is my representative on the Committee. Other representatives are:

STATINTL

3.   The overall objective of the exercise is to evaluate the need for each of selected MAP systems, the probability of its meeting planned milestones, and whether or not the current priority, i.e., commitment of resources, is appropriate to the requirements of the Directorate as we know them today.

4.   In achieving this objective, the Committee will accomplish the following action steps:

    a.   Identify those MAP programs which:

        (1)   Were known in November 1973.

        (2)   Have been added since November 1973.

        (3)   Have been completed.

(4) Are still in development.

b. Identify those programs, in development, which should be selected for review.

c. Review the status of the selected MAP programs to:

(1) Identify accomplishments to date against planned milestones (MBO).

(2) Determine the reasons for any slippages which may have occurred, such as:

(a) Unrealistic milestones.

(b) Inadequate resource commitment either by OJCS or the using component.

(c) System design or requirements were not frozen at a reasonable time and programs are being developed open-ended to accommodate new requirements.

(d) Program no longer has sufficient priority when weighed against other DD/A re- quirements.

(3) Determine which of the following actions should be taken in the case of serious slippages:

(a) Establish new milestones and oper- ational start-up dates.

(b) Establish a higher priority and commitment of resources.

(c) Freeze system requirements or design.

(d) Suspend development to a later date.

d. Study the priorities given to all systems within the MAP (DD/A Support) parameter and determine whether the programs should be adjusted to bring about a different set of priorities.

e. Review the OJCS software development support to the DD/A as compared to other Directorates and affirm or recommend changes to the present DD/A support allotment.

5.   The Committee is also authorized, at its own discretion, to study the interrelationships of the systems now identified as MAP and determine whether any could be developed more feasibly as "stand-alone" systems, even if their future operation would have to be driven by minicomputers. Such decisions would have to be made within the framework of overall OJCS cognizance and participation.

6.   The target date for completion of this review is 27 May 1976?

John F. Blake

. .Meeting firm for Monday, 19 April 1976

Attendees: Messrs. Janney, Yale, Malanick & Gambino

Do you wish to include OJCS?

13 April 1976

Proposed MAP Review Project   STATINTL

Suggested Chairman:

Suggested Participants:

    OP

    OF

    OL

    OS

    OMS

    OJCS

MAP Projects:

OP	Staffing
	PERSIGN I
	PERSIGN II
	GAP
	MISC Maintenance, including FLSA, Single Ceiling, APP & EEO
	CEMLOC
OF	Payroll
	GAS
	FRS
	CONIF III
	ACTUARY
OL	ICS
	CONIF I
	CONIF IIA
	CONIF III
	DIDS

OS

OMS

STATINTL

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM

CONFIDENTIAL SECRET

OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP

TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	DDA, 7D-32, Hq.		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			

ACTION		DIRECT REPLY		PREPARE REPLY	
APPROVAL		DISPATCH		RECOMMENDATION	
COMMENT		FILE		RETURN	
CONCURRENCE		INFORMATION		SIGNATURE	

Remarks:

Jack -

In accordance with our discussion on
Thursday, attached is a list of possible
candidates for a DDA ad hoc committee to
conduct a current appraisal of the MAP
program. While OL, OF and OP are most
involved, I would recommend that all be
invited to participate. I believe that

STATINTL ▮▮▮▮▮▮▮▮▮▮ is trusted and respected by
most Office Directors and would be the
best choice for the Chairmanship.

STATINTL

FOLD HERE TO RETURN

FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.	DATE
D/OJCS/DD/A 2-E-09 Hqs. x-4011	1 8 APR 1976

(40)

FORM NO. 237   Use previous editions   ☆ GPO : 1974 O - 535-857
1-67

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM

OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP

TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	DD/A	2 3 APR 1976	
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			

ACTION			PREPARE REPLY
APPROVAL			RECOMMENDATION
COMMENT			RETURN
CONCURRENCE		INFORMATION	SIGNATURE

STATINTL

Remarks:

Jack:

  I've made some changes, mostly in form to delineate and emphasize specific objectives. I am suggesting an end date of 27 May 1976.

Fred

FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER

FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.	DATE
DD/OJCS 2E29 Hqs.	

FORM NO. 237   Use previous editions   ☆ GPO : 1974 O - 535-857   (40)
1-67

Committees.

Ros with
File DD/A 2127

MAP Review
Comm